2024-25 Campus Improvement Plan

Accountability Rating: Delayed

School Name

School ID

Principal

Farwell Junior High School

185902041

Kristy White

District Name

Date of School Board Approval

Farwell Independent School District

2024-25 Campus Site-Based Committee

Name	Position	Committee Role
Sharon Chacon	Teacher	
Cassidy Martin	Teacher	
Lakin Hise	Teacher	
Hileana Jaime	Campus Secretary	Translator
Eva Lunsford	Educational Aide/Librarian	
Camilla Sharp	Teacher	
Tanya Chadwick	Community Member	
Patti Johnson	Curriculum	
Heather Conatser	Counselor	
Tiffany Brown	SPED Teacher	
Camille Osterkamp	Parent	
MaKenzie Hettinga	Parent	
Bobbie Black	Parent	
Amanda Orozco	Parent	

Table of Contents

2024-25 Campus Site-Based Committee	2
Mission Statement	4
Vision	4
Plan Location and Revision Dates	4
State Goals and Objectives	5
The State of Texas Public Education Mission and Academic Goals	5
The State of Texas Public Education Goals	5
The State of Texas Public Education Objectives	5
TEA Commissioner's Strategic Priorities:	6
Federal, State and Local Funding Sources	7
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Summary	8
Student Performance Data	
Enrollment	18
Attendance and Annual Dropout Rate	19
Annual and Total Graduates	21
Reading	22
English I	28
English II	28
Mathematics	29
Algebra I	34
Science	35
Biology	36
Social Studies	
U.S History	40
Goals and Strategies	41
Summary of Expenditures in this Plan	71
Total Allocations and Expenditures by Funding Source	71
Total Expenditures by Object Type	72
Total Expenditures by Object Type and Funding Source	73

Mission Statement

...each student will have the opportunity to enrich to the fullest his/her potential for mental, physical, social and emotional development in order that each student may grow in Wisdom, Stature, and in Favor with God and Man.

Vision

Farwell Junior High School is committed to leading the way through progressive technology and advanced instructional techniques to develop an educational experience that produces successful life-long learners.

Plan Location and Revision Dates

Our mission is one of teamwork. We will love, encourage, protect, and elevate students in and out of the classroom. This investment of our time will create a successful version of each student now and in the future. This plan is located in the campus office and on the website at https://www.farwellschools.org. The plan is available in English and interpretation in Spanish is available upon request.

State Goals and Objectives

The State of Texas Public Education Mission and Academic Goals

The mission of the public education system of this state is to ensure that all Texas children have access to a quality education that enables them to achieve their potential and fully participate now and in the future in the social, economic, and educational opportunities of our state and nation. That mission is grounded on the conviction that a general diffusion of knowledge is essential for the welfare of this state and for the preservation of the liberties and rights of citizens. It is further grounded on the conviction that a successful public education system is directly related to a strong, dedicated, and supportive family and that parental involvement in the school is essential for the maximum educational achievement of a child.

The State of Texas Public Education Goals

- **GOAL #1:** The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in the reading and writing of the English language.
- **GOAL #2:** The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in the understanding of mathematics.

GOAL #3: The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in the understanding of science.

GOAL #4: The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in the understanding of social studies.

The State of Texas Public Education Objectives

- **Objective #1:** Parents will be full partners with educators in the education of their children.
- **Objective #2:** Students will be encouraged and challenged to meet their full educational potential.
- **Objective #3:** Through enhanced dropout prevention efforts, all students will remain in school until they obtain a high school diploma.
- **Objective #4:** A well-balanced and appropriate curriculum will be provided to all students. Through that curriculum, students will be prepared to succeed in a variety of postsecondary activities, including employment and enrollment in institutions of higher education.

Objective #5: Educators will prepare students to be thoughtful, active citizens who have an appreciation for the basic values of our state and national heritage and who can understand and productively function in a free enterprise society.

- **Objective #6:** Qualified and highly effective personnel will be recruited, developed, and retained.
- **Objective #7:** The state's students will demonstrate exemplary performance in comparison to national and international standards.
- **Objective #8:** School campuses will maintain a safe and disciplined environment conducive to student learning.
- **Objective #9:** Educators will keep abreast of the development of creative and innovative techniques in instruction and administration using those techniques as appropriate to improve student learning.
- **Objective #10:** Technology will be implemented and used to increase the effectiveness of student learning, instructional management, staff development, and administration.
- **Objective #11:** The State Board of Education, the agency, and the commissioner shall assist school districts and charter schools in providing career and technology education to students.

TEA Strategic Plan

By the year 2030, projections indicate that most jobs will require some training beyond high school. This could be a traditional 4-year degree, a 2-year associate's, or an industry credential. But today, too few Texas students are obtaining postsecondary credentials. Without bold action, Texas faces a future of diminished incomes, opportunities and resources. Initiated by the Higher Education Coordinating Board, the 60x30TX Plan is designed to ensure that a competitive and prosperous future remains for students seeking to better their lives and the lives of their families. The state has adopted 60x30TX as our collective goal.

In an effort to support far more rapid improvements in student outcomes to meet the goals of 60x30TX, the Texas Education Agency has adopted a strategic plan. The plan includes four strategic priorities and built on three supporting actions to guide our work on behalf of the more than five million school children in our state.

	Every child, prepared for success i	in college, a career or the military.	
	TEA Commissioner's	s Strategic Priorities:	
1	2	3	4
Recruit, support, retain teachers & principals	Build a foundation of reading and math	Connect high school to career and college	Improve low-performing schools
	Enal	blers	
Increase transpa	arency, fairness and rigor in district	t and campus academic and financia	al performances
Ensu	re compliance , effectively implem	ent legislation and inform policyma	ikers
Strengthen c	organizational foundations (resour	ce efficiency, culture, capabilities, p	partnerships)

Federal, State and Local Funding Sources

Federal funding sources will be integrated and coordinated with State and Local funds to meet the needs of all students.

This schoolwide program will consolidate funds in the following way: <u>Title I, Part A only</u> [ESSA Sec. 1114(b)(7)(B)]

Federal Programs	Total Expenditures
Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$	
State or Local Programs	Total Expenditure
Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$	

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Summary

Demographics

Overall Summary

- Based on our 2022-2023 TAPR Data for FJH, our student groups consist of 0.7% African American, 55.2% Hispanic, 43.4% White, 0.0% American Indian, 0.0%, Asian, .7% Two or More Races, and 58.6% Economically Disadvantaged.
- English Learners (EB) 22.1% (State 23.1%); Students with Disciplinary Placements (2021-2022) was 1.3%, and At-Risk students are 45.5% (State 53.3%), Mobility rate for 2021-2022 was 9.4 (State 16.8%). The Campus Improvement Committee met on April 17, 2024, and determined our focus by looking at data from our TAPR, current CIP, surveys of parents and teachers, curriculum needs, discipline records, program evaluations, and professional development.

Summary of Strengths

What were the identified strengths?

On STAAR: Met all campus targets in Reading. Math met targets in two grade levels and above the state and region in all grade levels. Science scores were above the state percentage and met our campus target in the Masters category. History scores were above the state and region in the Approaches category.

Summary of Needs What were the identified needs?

• With the growing and increasingly diverse student demographics, Farwell Junior High School needs to continue to find ways to better meet the needs of all of our students that will ensure their continued success both while in school and after graduation. With a growing number of students considered EB and a growing number of SPED students, we must continue to look for ways to enhance instruction and learning opportunities for these student populations by engaging in appropriate professional development to support them in all content areas. It is especially important to focus on students taking TELPAS.

Priorities

What are the priorities for the campus, including how federal and state program funds will be used?

We will use money this year to target writing and vocabulary in all core subjects for all students, especially our EB and SPED students.

Student Achievement

Overall Summary

•	Farwell Junior High School is focused on learning and the learning process. We strive to use progressive technology
	and advanced instructional techniques to engage our students to help create life-long learners who are college and
	work force ready. Our mission is to be committed to excellence in everything we do at school and for our students,
	staff, and community.

• This year, FJH received an accountability rating is Delayed

Student Achievement Domain

- Farwell Junior High School's Accountability is Delayed
- The 2022-2023 TAPR report shows the STAAR/EOC measure for All Grades across the school, with students Approaching Grade Level or above in each area tested.

0		All	Subjects	89%
0	Reading			93%
0	Mathematics			90%
0	Science			92%
0	Social		Studies	73%

Summary of Strengths

What were the identified strengths?

• The strengths of Farwell Junior High in student achievement for 2022-23 include the following areas:

	٠	Students	Approaching	g 8th-grade	Science	increased	from	90% 1	to 92%	in the	Approach	category; 56%	Meets: 25%
		Masters											
93		%	of /	Algebra	1	studen	ts	W	ere	in	the	Master	Category

55	70	01	Algebia	1	students	were		the	IVIASLEI	category
All		campus		targets	were		met		in	Reading

Summary of Needs

What were the identified needs?

• District data indicates several areas needed for improvement:

o Improve all	content areas - Reading/ELA,	Math, Science, and Social Studies.	All content areas will focus of	on reading and writing
to	build	literacy	and	fluency.

Target and improve Reading, Special Education, and English bilingual subgroups in all content areas.

• We will continue to improve in overall performance on all STAAR exams and strive to have more students reach the Meets and Masters levels.

Priorities

0

What are the priorities for the campus, including how federal and state program funds will be used?

We will utilize funds to focus on math, reading and writing across all core areas.

School Culture and Climate

Overall Summary

•	In 2022	2-23 acc	ording	to the TEA Ac	coui	ntability Surv	/ey, Fa	arwell J	unior H	ligh Scho	ool's a	itten	dance rate	e was §	96.5.%.	
Farwell	ISD	Plan	for	Excellence	-	Continue	to	use	this	plan	as	а	model	for	our	future.
•	Use da	ta to sh	now th	e needs of the	e sch	nool, the nee	eds of	f our st	udents	, and wł	ny we	nee	d to be w	illing t	o chan	ge our

- Use data to show the needs of the school, the needs of our students, and why we need to be willing to change our approach.
- Determine our needs and willingness to develop our unique systems. Activities may include: a) developing a staff commitment statement for each campus and the district based on the idea of achievement for all students (post in front of school); b) developing a system to promote, enhance, and allow staff collaboration with a primary focus on student achievement; c) review our current data and develop a system of Smart Goals for student achievement; d) make sure TEKS are aligned and functional in all areas as our curriculum and standards; e) develop and/or review our system of assessments and review of the data; f) develop and/or review our structured response to intervention (RtI).
- Begin to promote college readiness for all students (work through the fall and try to have it ready to go in August): have teachers post their college school flag and have all staff post their diplomas in their classrooms/work areas and tell their classes their story of growing up and education; establish the idea of getting tickets to your future--HS graduation is your first ticket to your future, College graduation is your second ticket to your future; f) establish an awareness of each grade level of high school and college graduation (in four years); and attach a college spin to everything we do. (Wear college shirts during the year).

Summary of Strengths What were the identified strengths?

See Farwell ISD Plan for Excellence.

Summary of Needs What were the identified needs?

See Farwell ISD Plan for Excellence.

Priorities

What are the priorities for the campus, including how federal and state program funds will be used?

Staff Quality/Professional Development

Overall Summary

•	scientifically to The district w opportunities	based research, vill continue to for teacher co fter school train	and best provide hi provide hi Ilaboration hings, and bo	actices to imp igh-quality, so of vertical and	prove instruction ustained, timely	, and ongoing pro nment through we	ofessional deve	lopment and
0		•		• · ·		or both state and		•
continu	e to	hire	1	highly	qualified	staff	when	possible.
The foll	owing informati	on reflects the	2022-2023	TAPR				
•	We have 17 to			.,				
15	of	these	(88.3%)	are	Professio	nal staff	(State	64.1%)
14.7		(86.3%)	. ,	State	48.7%	5)	are	teachers
	0(0%)	(State	· · · · ·	10.9%)	are	, profe	essional	support
	.3(1.9%)	(State		3.3%)	are	campu	IS	administrators
	2.0 of these (1	1.7%) are Educ	ational Aide	s (State 11.3%	6).			
•	81.7% of our t	eaching staff h	ave a Bachel	or's (State 72	.2%); Master's (1	.8.3%); and 0.0% Do	octorate	
•	Teaching staff	years of experi	ence at Farv	vell Junior Hig	h School:			
0	4.9%	aı	e	beginning	g ·	teachers	(State	9.7%)
0	34%	have	1	to	5	years	(State	26.3%)
0	18%	have	6	to	10	years	(State	20.5%)
0	25.9%	have	11	to	20	years	(State	27.2%)
0	10.4%	have	ove	er	21-30	years	(State	13.3%)
6.8% h	ave over 30 yea	rs (State 2.9%)						
•	Class size aver	ages 9.9 studer	nts per teach	ner, with 14.8	being the State	average.		
•	Salary average	s at Farwell Ju	nior High Sch	nool:				
0		Teachers,		50,3	30	(State		\$60,717)
0	Campus	Administrat	on	(School	Leadership),	\$102,245	(State	\$85,167)

Summary of Strengths

What were the identified strengths?

- Farwell Junior High School has an excellent balance of veteran and beginning teachers (highly qualified staff).
- All of our paraprofessionals are highly qualified.
- Four of our teachers and one campus administrator are ESL certified.
- Enjoyable work environment for faculty/staff.
- Providing resources and materials for instruction is an administration priority.
- Administration support for personnel is in place.

Summary of Needs What were the identified needs? • Needs assessment surveys, grade level and department team discussions, and teacher requests indicate a need for continued training in the TEKS Resource System, the student management system (Ascender), DMAC, Lead4ward, Mindplay, MobyMax,

Reading Plus, and Get More Math. Resources for extended responses will be acquired upon availability.

- Through these venues, teachers need additional training in Instructional Delivery, RtI, GT, EL strategies for ELPS, and 21st Century Skills.
- All core course (ELA, Math, Science, and Social Studies) teachers need additional support, intensive collaboration, and strengthened vertical alignment to improve student success.
- Continue to use collaboration as a vehicle to enhance instruction and instruction methods that maximize student learning.
- Increase the rigor of instruction
- Utilize data to drive instruction

Priorities

What are the priorities for the campus, including how federal and state program funds will be used?

Curriculum, Instruction, Assessment

Overall Summary

School Improvement

- Farwell Junior High School has developed and revised the Campus Improvement Plan (CIP) in consultation with parents, school staff, and others through the school's Campus Improvement Team (CIT). This committee meets several times throughout the school year and reviews testing data and monitors continuous school improvement.
- Some examples of activities on the campus regarding Curriculum and Instruction:

Continued use of the TEKS resource system, Lead4ward, new science curriculum, and DMAC 0 Provides tutorials for struggling students (before school, during the tutorial period, and after school, as needed) 0 0 Will provide more intensive and sustained professional development before school starts and throughout the year. Instituted mandatory tutorials on campus for students who have not completed their work. 0 Will continually improve teaching and learning through the integration of technology in the classroom 0 0 Utilize reports from DMAC, Interim Assessments, and monthly meetings with the principal and instructional coach to drive instruction

Summary of Strengths

What were the identified strengths?

- TEKS Resource System based upon the state's required curriculum of the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and other exemplar resources serve as the curriculum for the campus's core content areas (K-12). Teachers use the TEKS Resource System and other exemplars in order to assist them in teaching at increasing levels of rigor and depth in their instruction.
- Common assessments through the TRS, DMAC, and other evaluations reviewed collaboratively by core teacher groups continue to develop a strong assessment program throughout the campus.
- Chapter, unit, and semester tests along with interim or benchmark exams, serve as opportunities to identify areas for struggling students that require additional student support and intervention.
- Teachers continue to work on interventions (RtI) and are working hard to bridge the achievement gap for all students.
- Data talks utilized to track student progress
- DMAC, Get More Math, and Reading Plus

Summary of Needs What were the identified needs?

- In working with teacher groups, the campus needs to continue improving the quality of instruction and rigor, and assessments for all areas in order to increase student performance. The new state assessment system is more rigorous than past tests and will require changes and adjustments in teaching and learning in order for students to be successful.
- All campuses must identify the needs of their core area and address them in a logical vertical and horizontal plan. This will be done through campus committees with the curriculum directors and principals as the leaders of this movement.

Priorities

What are the priorities for the campus, including how federal and state program funds will be used?

Family and Community Involvement

Overall Summary

• Farwell Junior High School believes in engaged parental and community involvement through a strong partnership with parents.

Summary of Strengths

What were the identified strengths?

 For parents, students, and community members, Farwell Junior High School uses the district website, Weekly Newsletters home, FJH Facebook page, and a phone app to facilitate better communication and interaction among all stakeholders. Through the Parent Portal, parents have electronic, real-time access to their children's grades, attendance data, and easy access to continued communication with their children's teachers. The Farwell Junior High School Campus Site-Based Committee meets regularly on the campus to focus on improving instruction.
Summary of Needs What were the identified needs?
 The district and campuses continue to improve efforts to publicize scheduled meetings, events, and activities that will enhance parent, family, and community involvement. Improve communication with parents about events at school Provide parents with information on ways to help their children be successful
Priorities What are the priorities for the campus, including how federal and state program funds will be used?
Programs
Overall Summary
 The campus is a Title I, State Compensatory, and Migrant School-Wide District; The campus is not rated Initiatives that support student achievement include the following: TEKS Resource System; DMAC; common assessments with performance indicators;iIntervention; technology integration in all classrooms; an ISS/DAEP Program; daily tutorials; and summer school.
Summary of Strengths What were the identified strengths?

- The use of DMAC software in data evaluation of state assessments and PGPs (Personal Graduation Plans);
- Parents access to the website to check on their student's academic progress;
- Use of Smart Board technology, COWs(Computers on Wheels) and Smart TVs;
- Built-in daily tutorial time

Summary of Needs What were the identified needs?

- The use of common assessments in core content areas
- Professional learning for all staff in best practices, differentiation, and other topics of interest
- Improve targeted areas of reading, Special Education, and English learners
- More training for teachers and administrators in common assessments
- More in-depth training for teachers in technology integration in the classroom, with emphasis on Google classroom
- Continued improvement in the areas of parental and community involvement

Priorities

What are the priorities for the campus, including how federal and state program funds will be used?

Technology

Overall Summary

- Farwell Junior High School commits to encouraging all students and community members to become life-long learners. Essential to this vision is the development of the use of progressive technology that empowers teachers, students, and other adults to create learning experiences from the vast storehouse of information available both within and beyond the school building. In educating its students for the future, the campus believes that while maintaining and enhancing our current technology network is important, we must play a part in equipping students, teachers, and the community to use all technologies efficiently.
- While the CIT will be creating a vision for technology on our campus, we realize the single most important factor in determining the success of technology in the classroom is a teacher who is able to incorporate technology into instruction.

Summary of Strengths

What were the identified strengths?

See separate technology plan for details.

Summary of Needs

What were the identified needs?

- Continue the provision of Microsoft Office for staff to use at home to improve instructional design and strategies used in the classroom.
- Continued training for Smart TV's, utilize Chromebooks in the classrooms to enhance instruction, and writing in all core areas.

Priorities

What are the priorities for the campus, including how federal and state program funds will be used?

Additional Information

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

- Campus goals
- TAPR data longitudinal and current, including:
- Attendance data
- Class size data
- College Readiness Data
- The most recent STARR results and accountability status
- Campus and/or district planning and decision-making committee meeting discussions
- Local benchmark or common assessments results
- Number of students assigned to special programs, including their academic achievement, race/ethnicity, gender, etc.
- Discipline records
- Student surveys and/or feedback
- Community and/or parent surveys and/or feedback
- Staff surveys and/or feedback
- Comprehensive Curriculum Analysis
- Evaluation of all special programs, including At-Risk, Homeless, Special Education, Migrant, ESL, 504, Dyslexia, G/T and CATE
- Analysis of current policies
- Evaluation of campus staff issues including staff development, recruitment, teacher qualification/certification and personnel needs.
- State and/or federal planning requirements
- Campus leadership and/or department meetings
- Student failure and/or retention rates
- HB1416 Student data
- Prior year(s) campus and/or district improvement plans
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) results
- Tobacco, alcohol, and other drug use data
- ESSA Report Card data
- STAAR, STAAR Spanish, STAAR Alternate testing requirements
- TEA Accountability Summary

Student Performance Data

Enrollment

	Enrollment	by Grade Leve	el			
Grade Level	202	0-21	202	1-22	202	2-23
Early Education	0	0.00%		%	0	0.00%
Pre-Kindergarten	0	0.00%		%	0	0.00%
Kindergarten	0	0.00%		%	0	0.00%
Grade 1	0	0.00%		%	0	0.00%
Grade 2	0	0.00%		%	0	0.00%
Grade 3	0	0.00%		%	0	0.00%
Grade 4	0	0.00%		%	0	0.00%
Grade 5	0	0.00%		%	0	0.00%
Grade 6	40	33.10%	52	37.40%	42	29.00%
Grade 7	42	34.70%	46	33.10%	55	37.90%
Grade 8	39	32.20%	41	29.50%	48	33.10%
Grade 9	0	0.00%		%	0	0.00%
Grade 10	0	0.00%		%	0	0.00%
Grade 11	0	0.00%		%	0	0.00%
Grade 12	0	0.00%		%	0	0.00%

Enr	ollment by Eth	nnicity and Sul	ogroups			
Ethnicity	202	0-21	202	1-22	202	2-23
All Students	121	100%	139	100%	145	100%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0.00%		%	0	0.00%
Asian	0	0.00%		%	0	0.00%
Black or African American	0	0.00%		%	1	0.70%
Hispanic/Latino	69	57.00%	84	60.40%	80	55.20%
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	0	0.00%		%	0	0.00%
Two or More Races	1	0.80%	2	1.40%	1	0.70%
White	51	42.10%	53	38.10%	63	43.40%
Economically Disadvantaged	69	57.00%	74	53.20%	85	58.60%
At-Risk	65	53.70%	73	52.50%	66	45.50%
Special Education	8	%	3	2.20%	4	2.80%

Attendance and Annual Dropout Rate

	Attendance Rate		
Student Group	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
All Students	99.5	96.7	96.5
Male	99.4	96.8	96.6
Female	99.6	96.6	96.5
Hispanic/Latino	99.6	96.5	97
White	99.3	97	95.9
Economically Disadvantaged	99.5	96.7	97
English Language Learner	99.6	96.8	97.5
Special Education	99.6	95.9	95.4
At-Risk	99.5	96.5	96.6

	Annual Dropou	t Rate		
Student Group	Grade Level	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
All Students	7-8	0		0
Male	7-8	0		0
Female	7-8	0		0
Hispanic/Latino	7-8	0		0
White	7-8	0		0
Economically Disadvantaged	7-8	0		0
English Language Learner	7-8	0		0
Special Education	7-8	0		0
At-Risk	7-8	0		0

Annual and Total Graduates

	Annua	I Graduates		
Subgroup	20:	19-20	2020-21	2021-22
All Students	0	100%	100%	100%
African American	0	0.00%	%	%
Asian	0	0.00%	%	%
Hispanic	0	0.00%	%	%
Two or More	0	0.00%	%	%
American Indian	0	0.00%	%	%
Pacific Islander	0	0.00%	%	%
White	0	0.00%	%	%
Economically Disadvantaged	0	0.00%	%	%
At-Risk	0	0.00%	%	%
English Language Learner	0	0.00%	%	%
Special Education	0	0.00%	%	%

	Total Gr	aduates (All Stu	dents)			
Graduate Type	19-	20	20-	21	21-	22
Recommended High School Program/ Distinguished Achievement Program	0	0.00%		%		%
Foundation High School Program (Distinguished Levels of Achievement)	0	0.00%		%		%
Foundation High School Program (Endorsement)	0	0.00%		%		%
Foundation High School Program (No Endorsement)	0	0.00%		%		%
Minimum High School Program	0	0.00%		%		%

Reading

Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale		ot Meet		oaches		eets		ters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	6	40	1604	10	25	30	75	16	40	9	23
	7	44	1647	11	25	33	75	18	41	10	23
	8	36	1703	3	8	33	92	19	53	9	25
				2021-22 Rea	ading STAAR	Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale		ot Meet %	Appr #	oaches %		eets %	Mas	iters
All Students			Score	#			1	#			%
	6	53	1655	6	11	47	89	31	58	19	36
	7	47	1755	4	9	43	91	29	62	25	53
	8	43	1760	2	5	41	95	32	74	22	51
				2022-23 Rea	ading STAAR	Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale		ot Meet		oaches		eets		iters
All Students		10	Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	6	40	1671	4	10	36	90	23	58	12	30
	7	56	1731	4	7	52	93	43	77	20	36
	8	48	1780	2	4	46	96	37	77	20	42
				2020-21 Rea	ading STAAR	Results					

	Grade Level	# Churchen to Tartica 1	Average	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	M	eets	Mas	ters
,	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Scale Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Hispanic/ Latino	6	23	1534	9	39	14	61	5	22	1	4
	7	26	1629	7	27	19	73	8	31	4	15
	8	17	1657	3	18	14	82	5	29	2	12
				2021-22 Rea	ading STAAR	Results					
Student Group											
			Average	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	paches	м	eets	Mas	ters
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Scale Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Hispanic/ Latino	6	37	1641	5	14	32	86	20	54	10	27
	7	25	1690	4	16	21	84	13	52	10	40
	8	27	1726	2	7	25	93	18	67	11	41
Student Group	8	27	1726	1	7 ading STAAR	1	93	18	67		41
Student Group			Average	2022-23 Rea	1	Results	93 Daches		eets	11 	
	8 Grade Level	27 # Students Tested		2022-23 Rea	ading STAAR	Results			I		
Student Group Hispanic/ Latino			Average Scale	2022-23 Rea	ading STAAR	Appro	Daches	 	eets	Mas	ters
Hispanic/	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score	2022-23 Rea	ading STAAR ot Meet %	Appro #	paches %	M	eets %	Mas #	iters %
Hispanic/	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score 1630	2022-23 Rea Did No # 2	ot Meet % 13	Appro # 14	paches % 88	# 7	eets %	Mas # 2	ters % 13
Hispanic/	Grade Level 6 7	# Students Tested 16 37	Average Scale Score 1630 1716	2022-23 Rea Did No # 2 3 2	ot Meet % 13 8	Appro # 14 34 24	Daches % 88 92	M # 7 28	eets % 44 76	Mas # 2 13	ters % 13 35
Hispanic/	Grade Level 6 7	# Students Tested 16 37	Average Scale Score 1630 1716	2022-23 Rea Did No # 2 3 2	ading STAAR	Appro # 14 34 24	Daches % 88 92	M # 7 28	eets % 44 76	Mas # 2 13	ters % 13 35
Hispanic/ Latino	Grade Level 6 7 8	# Students Tested 16 37 26	Average Scale Score 1630 1716 1743 Average	2022-23 Rea Did No # 2 3 2 2022-23 Rea	ading STAAR	Results Appro # 14 34 24 Results	Daches % 88 92	M # 7 28 18	eets % 44 76	Mas # 2 13	ters % 13 35 23
Hispanic/ Latino	Grade Level 6 7	# Students Tested 16 37	Average Scale Score 1630 1716 1743	2022-23 Rea Did No # 2 3 2 2022-23 Rea	ading STAAR	Results Appro # 14 34 24 Results	Daches % 88 92 92 92	M # 7 28 18	eets % 44 76 69	Mas # 2 13 6	ters % 13 35 23

	8	0									
				2020-21 Rea	ading STAAR	Results					
itudent Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale		ot Meet		oaches		eets		sters
		-	Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
White	6	17	1698	1	6	16	94	11	65	8	47
	7	18	1673	4	22	14	78	10	56	6	33
	8	19	1744	0	0	19	100	14	74	7	37
				2021-22 Rea	ading STAAR	Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale		ot Meet		oaches		eets		sters
White		+ + +	Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
VVIILE	6	16	1689	1	6	15	94	11	69	9	56
	7	22	1830	0	0	22	100	16	73	15	68
	8	15	1803	0	0	15	100	13	87	10	67
				2022-23 Rea	ading STAAR	Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did Nc	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	M	eets	Ma	sters
		# Students rested	Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
White	6	22	1700	1	5	21	95	15	68	9	41
	7	19	1759	1	5	18	95	15	79	7	37
	8	22	1824	0	0	22	100	19	86	14	64
				2021-22 Po	ading STAAR	Results				_	-

				1			I	1	I	l	
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale		ot Meet	Appro			eets	Mast	
Two or More			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Races	6	0		<u> </u>		ļ					
	7	0		<u> </u>		ļ					
	8	1									
				2022-23 Rea	ading STAAR I	Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Did No	ot Meet %	Appro	oaches %	Ме #	eets %	Mast #	ters %
Two or More	6	1									
Races	7	0		+			·				
-	8	0		+			·				
I	-			2020-21 Rea	ading STAAR I	Recults					
				2020 21 1.00		(C)UICS					
Student Group											
1						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	aches	Me	eets	Mast	ters
Fconomically	Grade Level	# Students Tested		Did No #	ot Meet %	Appro #	oaches %	Me #	eets %	Mast #	ters %
Economically ⁻ Disadvantaged	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Scale								
			Scale Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	6	19	Scale Score 1545	#	% 37	#	% 63	#	% 26	#	% 5
	6 7	19 29	Scale Score 1545 1616	# 7 9 3	% 37 31	# 12 20 18	% 63 69	# 5 7	% 26 24	# 1 5	% 5 17
	6 7	19 29	Scale Score 1545 1616	# 7 9 3	% 37 31 14	# 12 20 18	% 63 69	# 5 7	% 26 24	# 1 5	% 5 17
Disadvantaged .	6 7 8	19 29 21	Scale Score 1545 1616 1656 Average	# 7 9 3 2021-22 Rea	% 37 31 14	# 12 20 18	% 63 69 86	# 5 7 9	% 26 24	# 1 5	% 5 17 5
Disadvantaged - Student Group - Economically	6 7	19 29	Scale Score 1545 1616 1656	# 7 9 3 2021-22 Rea	% 37 31 14 ading STAAR F	# 12 20 18 Results	% 63 69 86	# 5 7 9	% 26 24 43	# 1 5 1	% 5 17 5
Student Group	6 7 8	19 29 21	Scale Score 1545 1616 1656 Average Scale	# 7 9 3 2021-22 Rea	% 37 31 14 ading STAAR H	# 12 20 18 Results Appro	% 63 69 86 Paches	# 5 7 9	% 26 24 43	# 1 5 1 Mast	% 5 17 5 ters

	8	26	1731	2	8	24	92	16	62	10	38
				2022-23 Rea	ading STAAR	Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	H Ct. do sta Tastad	Average	Did Nc	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	м	leets	Mar	sters
Francesically	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Scale Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Economically [–] Disadvantaged _	6	20	1618	3	15	17	85	8	40	2	10
	7	38	1711	4	11	34	89	28	74	12	32
	8	26	1737	2	8	24	92	17	65	6	23
				2020-21 Rea	ading STAAR	Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Did No	ot Meet %	Appro	oaches %	M(leets %	Mas #	sters %
-imited English - Proficient	6	8	1560	2	25	6	75	4	50	1	13
Proncient -	7	8	1552	5	63	3	38	1	13	1	13
-	8	4							+	+	
				2021-22 Rea	ading STAAR	Results					1
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did Nc	ot Meet	Appre	oaches	м	leets	Ma	sters
instead English			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
-imited English Proficient	6	17	1604	3	18	14	82	6	35	3	18
	7	10	1714	1	10	9	90	6	60	5	50
	8	8	1624	2	25	6	75	2	25	0	0
				2022-23 Rea	ading STAAR	Results					

	Crada Laval		Average	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	eets	Mas	sters
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Scale Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Limited English ⁻ Proficient	6	5	1667	0	0	5	100	2	40	1	20
	7	16	1672	3	19	13	81	10	63	3	19
	8	9	1782	1	11	8	89	7	78	4	44
				2020-21 Rea	ading STAAR	Results					
Student Group											
			Average	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	eets	Mas	sters
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Scale Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Special Education	6	3									
-	7	8	1549	5	63	3	38	1	13	0	0
	8	3									
	0										
Student Group				2021-22 Rea	ading STAAR	Results					
Student Group			Average	1			naches			Ma	sters
Student Group	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score	1	ading STAAR		paches %	 Me	eets %	Mas	sters %
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Scale Score	Did No #	ot Meet %	Appro #	%	#	%	#	%
			Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro					
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Scale Score	Did No #	ot Meet %	Appro #	%	#	%	#	%
	Grade Level 6 7	# Students Tested 8 4	Scale Score 1497	Did No # 3 1	ot Meet % 38	Appro # 5 6	% 63	#	%	# 0	% 0
	Grade Level 6 7	# Students Tested 8 4	Scale Score 1497	Did No # 3 1	ot Meet % 38 14	Appro # 5 6	% 63	#	%	# 0	% 0
Special Education - -	Grade Level 6 7 8	# Students Tested 8 4 7	Scale Score 1497 1661 Average	Did No # 3 1 2022-23 Rea	ot Meet % 38 14	Appro # 5 6 Results	% 63	# 1 2	%	# 0 2	% 0
Special Education - - - Student Group	Grade Level 6 7	# Students Tested 8 4	Scale Score 1497 1661	Did No # 3 1 2022-23 Rea	ot Meet % 38 14 ading STAAR	Appro # 5 6 Results	% 63 86	# 1 2	% 13 29	# 0 2	% 0 29
Special Education - -	Grade Level 6 7 8	# Students Tested 8 4 7	Scale Score 1497 1661 Average Scale	Did No # 3 1 2022-23 Rea	ot Meet % 38 14 ading STAAR	Appro # 5 6 Results Appro	% 63 86 86	# 1 2 Ma	% 13 29 29	# 0 2 Mas	% 0 29 sters

	8	4									
				2021-22	Reading STAA	AR Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Di	d Not Meet	Apr	oroaches	N	leets	Mas	ters
At-Risk			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	6	28	1598	6	21	22	79	11	39	4	14
	7	27	1683	4	15	23	85	11	41	9	33
				2020-21	English I STA/	AR Results					
				2020-21	English I STAA	AR Results					
Student Group	# Students Tested	d S	erage cale core	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	aches	Me	ets	Mas	ters
				#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
				2021-22	English I STAA	AR Results					
Student Group	# Students Tested	d S	erage cale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	aches	Me	ets	Mas	ters
		Si	core	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
					•						
				2022-23	English I STAA	AR Results					
itudent Group	# Students Tested	l So	erage cale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	aches	M	eets	Ма	sters
		Sc	ore	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
					English II						

				2020-21 Eng	glish II STAA	R Results					
Student Group	# Students Tester	d So	erage cale	Did Not M	eet	Approa	ches	Mee	ets	Mas	sters
		Sc	ore	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
				2021 22 5-4		P. Doculto					
				2021-22 Eng	giish ii STAA	K RESUILS					
Student Group	# Students Tester	d So	erage cale	Did Not M	eet	Approa	ches	Mee	ets	Mas	ters
	Score				%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	L			2022-23 Eng	lish II STAA	R Results					
Student Group	# Students Tester	d So	erage cale	Did Not Meet Approaches Meets			ets	Masters			
		Sc	ore	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
				M	athematics ematics ST/	AR Results					
Student Group											
			Average	 Did Nr	ot Meet	Appr	oaches	M	eets	Mas	sters
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Scale Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	6	40	1701	5	13	35	88	23	58	17	43
	7	44	1657	12	27	32	73	18	41	6	14
	8	32	1723	3	9	29	91	21	66	3	9
				2021-22 Math	ematics STA	AR Results					
Student Group											

	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	M	eets	Mas	sters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	6	53	1699	7	13	46	87	34	64	16	30
	7	47	1718	6	13	41	87	26	55	16	34
	8	38	1752	2	5	36	95	27	71	10	26
			20	022-23 Mathe	ematics STAA	R Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	M	eets	Mas	sters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students -	6	40	1819	2	5	38	95	27	68	11	28
-	7	56	1833	7	13	49	88	33	59	12	21
	•	22	1000		18	27	82	18	55	7	21
Student Group	8	33	1866 2 (6 020-21 Mathe	I	I	02	18		,	
Student Group			20 Average	020-21 Mathe	I	R Results	oaches		eets		sters
	Grade Level	33 # Students Tested	20	020-21 Mathe	ematics STAA	R Results					1
Student Group Hispanic/ Latino			20 Average Scale	020-21 Mathe	ematics STAA	AR Results	paches	M	eets	Mas	sters %
Hispanic/	Grade Level	# Students Tested	20 Average Scale Score	020-21 Mathe	ematics STAA ot Meet %	AR Results Appro	paches %		eets %	Mas #	sters
Hispanic/	Grade Level	# Students Tested	20 Average Scale Score 1637	020-21 Mathe	ematics STAA	R Results Appro # 20	paches % 87	ма # 10	eets % 43	Mas # 6	sters % 26
Hispanic/	Grade Level	# Students Tested 23 26	Average Scale Score 1637 1633 1670	020-21 Mathe	ematics STAA ot Meet % 13 35 19	Appro # 20 17 13	9 aches % 87 65	M # 10 8	eets % 43 31	Mas # 6 2	sters % 26 8
Hispanic/ Latino	Grade Level	# Students Tested 23 26	Average Scale Score 1637 1633 1670	020-21 Mathe	ematics STAA ot Meet % 13 35 19	Appro # 20 17 13	9 aches % 87 65	M # 10 8	eets % 43 31	Mas # 6 2	sters % 26 8
Hispanic/ Latino	Grade Level	# Students Tested 23 26	Average Scale Score 1637 1633 1670 20 Average Scale	020-21 Mathe	ematics STAA Meet % 13 35 19 ematics STAA ot Meet	Appro # 20 17 13 AR Results Appro	paches % 87 65 81 paches	# 10 8 8 8	eets % 43 31 50 eets	Mas # 6 2 0	sters % 26 8 0 sters
Hispanic/ Latino	Grade Level 6 7 8 Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score 1637 1633 1670 20 Average Scale Score	020-21 Mathe	ematics STAA	Appro # 20 17 13 R Results Appro #	paches % 87 65 81 paches %	Ma # 10 8 8 8 8 8	eets % 43 31 50 eets %	Mas # 6 2 0 4 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	sters % 26 8 0 sters %
Latino Student Group	Grade Level 6 7 8	# Students Tested 23 26 16	Average Scale Score 1637 1633 1670 20 Average Scale	020-21 Mathe	ematics STAA Meet % 13 35 19 ematics STAA ot Meet	Appro # 20 17 13 AR Results Appro	paches % 87 65 81 paches	# 10 8 8 8	eets % 43 31 50 eets	Mas # 6 2 0	sters % 26 8 0 sters

2022-23 Mathematics STAAR Results

Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale		ot Meet		paches		eets		iters
Hispanic/		10	Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Latino	6	16	1767	1	6	15	94	9	56	2	13
	7	37 23	1819	5	14	32	86 74	19	51	7	19 13
	8	23	1839	6	26	17	/4	11	48	3	13
Black or African	6	1									
American	7	0									
	8	0									
			20	020-21 Math	ematics STAA	R Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level # Students Tested		Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	eets	Mas	ters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
White	6	17	1786	2	12	15	88	13	76	11	65
	7	18	1690	3	17	15	83	10	56	4	22
	8	16	1775	0	0	16	100	13	81	3	19
			2	021-22 Math	ematics STAA	R Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	eets	Mas	iters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
White	6	16	1729	2	13	14	88	11	69	7	44
	7	22	1801	1	5	21	95	16	73	12	55
	8	12	1813	0	0	12	100	10	83	6	50
			1813	0	0	12					
			2	022-23 Math	ematics STAA	R Results					

Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	t Meet	Appro	aches	Me	eets	Mas	sters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
White -	6	22	1861	0	0	22	100	17	77	8	36
	7	19	1862	2	11	17	89	14	74	5	26
	8	10	1927	0	0	10	100	7	70	4	40
	6	0									
Two or More Races	7	0									
	8	1									
	6	1									
Two or More Races	7	0									
	8	0									
			21	020-21 Math	ematics STAA	R Results					
Student Group				020-21 Math	ematics STAA	R Results					
Student Group	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average		ematics STAA	AR Results	paches	Me	eets	Ma	sters
	Grade Level	# Students Tested					vaches %	Ma #	eets %	Ma: #	sters %
Economically ⁻	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	nt Meet	Appro					
Economically ⁻			Average Scale Score	Did No #	nt Meet %	Appro #	%	#	%	#	%
Economically ⁻	6	19	Average Scale Score 1668	Did No # 2	nt Meet % 11	Appro # 17	% 89	# 10	% 53	#	%
	6	19 29	Average Scale Score 1668 1637 1701	Did No # 2 10	nt Meet % 11 34 15	Appro # 17 19 17	% 89 66	# 10 10	% 53 34	# 7 2	% 37 7
Economically -	6	19 29	Average Scale Score 1668 1637 1701	Did No # 2 10 3	nt Meet % 11 34 15	Appro # 17 19 17	% 89 66	# 10 10	% 53 34	# 7 2	% 37 7
Economically ⁻ Disadvantaged -	6 7 8	19 29 20	Average Scale Score 1668 1637 1701 20 Average	Did No # 2 10 3 021-22 Mathe	nt Meet % 11 34 15	Appro # 17 19 17 R Results	% 89 66	# 10 10 11	% 53 34	# 7 2 1	% 37 7
Economically Disadvantaged - - - - -	6	19 29	Average Scale Score 1668 1637 1701 20	Did No # 2 10 3 021-22 Mathe	nt Meet % 11 34 15 ematics STAA	Appro # 17 19 17 R Results	% 89 66 85	# 10 10 11	% 53 34 55	# 7 2 1	% 37 7 5
Economically Disadvantaged - 	6 7 8	19 29 20	Average Scale Score 1668 1637 1701 20 Average Scale	Did No # 2 10 3 021-22 Mathe	nt Meet % 11 34 15 ematics STAA	Appro # 17 19 17 R Results Appro	% 89 66 85	# 10 10 11	% 53 34 55 eets	# 7 2 1 Ma:	% 37 7 5
Economically Disadvantaged - - - - -	6 7 8 Grade Level	19 29 20 # Students Tested	Average Scale Score 1668 1637 1701 20 Average Scale Score	Did No # 2 10 3 021-22 Matho Did No #	t Meet % 11 34 15 ematics STAA	Appro # 17 19 17 R Results Appro #	% 89 66 85 9aches %	# 10 10 11 11	% 53 34 55 eets %	# 7 2 1 %	% 37 7 5 \$ \$ \$

2022-23 Mathematics STAAR Results

Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	t Meet	Appro	oaches	M	eets	Mas	sters
Economically ⁻			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Disadvantaged	6	20	1752	2	10	18	90	10	50	2	10
-	7	38	1818	6	16	32	84	19	50	8	21
	8	21	1828	6	29	15	71	8	38	2	10
	6	8	1673	1	13	7	88	5	63	4	50
Limited English Proficient	7	8	1573	5	63	3	38	1	13	0	0
	8	4									
			2	021-22 Mathe	ematics STAA	R Results					
Student Group											
				1						1	
	Grade Level # Students Tested		Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Approaches		Meets		Mas	sters
Limited English			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Limited English Proficient	6	17	1665	4	24	13	76	9	53	3	18
_	7	10	1673	1	10	9	90	4	40	3	30
	8	8	1689	1	13	7	88	4	50	1	13
			2	022-23 Math	ematics STAA	R Results					
Student Group											
•											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	t Meet	Appro	baches	M	eets	Mas	sters
Limited English			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Proficient	6	5	1787	0	0	5	100	3	60	1	20
-	7	16	1782	4	25	12	75	8	50	2	13
	8	7	1859	1	14	6	86	5	71	1	14
pecial Education		3		1	I	I	1	l		I	1

	7	8	1575	6	75	2	25	1	13	1	13
	8	3									
	6	8	1566	4	50	4	50	3	38	0	0
pecial Education	7	4									
	8	6	1631	1	17	5	83	1	17	0	0
	6	4									
pecial Education	7	9	1669	5	56	4	44	0	0	0	0
	8	4									
			2	2021-22 Matl	hematics STA	AR Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale		lot Meet		roaches		eets		iters
At-Risk _			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
-	6	28	1648	6	21	22	79	14	50	4	14
	7	27	1644	5	19	22	81	9	33	5	19
					Algebra I						
				2020-21 Al	gebra I STAAF	Results					
1		A 1		Did Not N	Лeet	Approa	iches	Mee	ets	Mas	ters
			erage								
Student Group	# Students Teste	ed So	erage cale core			щ	0/	"	0/	4	0/
Student Group	# Students Teste	ed So	cale	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Student Group	# Students Teste	ed So	cale	#	% gebra I STAAF		%	#	%	#	%
Student Group	# Students Teste	ed Si Si	cale	# 2021-22 Alg	gebra I STAAF	Results					
Student Group	# Students Teste	ed Si Sc 	cale	#	gebra I STAAF			# Mee		# Mas	
		ed Si Sc 	cale	# 2021-22 Alg	gebra I STAAF	Results					

			2022-23	Algebra I STA	AR Results					
Student Group	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did Not Meet		Appro	oaches	Meets		Masters	
		Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	15	4880	0	0	15	100	15	100	14	93
White	12	4953	0	0	12	100	12	100	12	100
Economically Disadvantaged	5	4727	0	0	5	100	5	100	4	80

Science

	2020-21 Science STAAR Results													
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did Not Meet		Appro	vaches	Me	ets	Masters				
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
	8	36	3925	6	17	30	83	15	42	5	14			
	8	17	3792	4	24	13	76	3	18	2	12			
Student Group	8	0												
	8	0												
	8	19	4044	2	11	17	89	12	63	3	16			
	8	0												
	8	20	3863	4	20	16	80	6	30	2	10			
	8	4												
	8	3												

				2021-22	Science STAA	R Results				_	
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	t Meet	Appro	oaches	Meets		Mas	ters
Student Group			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	8	43	4004	5	12	38	88	22	51	6	14
	8	27	3922	5	19	22	81	13	48	2	7

Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Did No	t Meet	Appro	aches	Me	eets	Ma	sters
			2022-23	Science STAA	R Results		1			
 8	7	3793	2	29	5	71	2	29	1	14
8	8	3659	3	38	5	63	1	13	0	0
8	26	3892	5	19	21	81	11	42	2	8
8	1									
8	15	4118	0	0	15	100	8	53	3	20
8	0									
8	0									<u> </u>

Biology

	1	1	2020-21	Biology STAA	R Results		1					
Student Group	Student Group # Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	t Meet	Appro	oaches	Meets		Mas	sters		
		Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
	2021-22 Biology STAAR Results											

Student Group

						1		1		1		
Student Group	# Students Tested		Average Scale	Did N	Did Not Meet		Approaches		Meets		Masters	
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
				2022-23	3 Biology STA	AR Results						
Student Group	# Students Tested		Average Scale	Did N	Did Not Meet		Approaches		Meets		sters	
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
				2020-21 Sc	Social Studie							
Student Group	Grade Level	# Students Tested				Approaches		Meets		Masters		
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
All Students	8	35	3815	8	23	27	77	11	31	4	11	
Hispanic/ Latino	8	17	3661	7	41	10	59	3	18	1	6	
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	0										
Black or African American	8	0										
White	8	18	3960	1	6	17	94	8	44	3	17	
Two or More Races	8	0										
Economically Disadvantaged	8	20	3752	7	35	13	65	6	30	1	5	
Limited English Proficient	8	4										
Special Education	8	3										

2021-22 Social Studies STAAR Results

Student Group	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Did Not Meet		Approaches		Meets		Masters		
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
All Students	8	43	3872	12	28	31	72	19	44	7	16	
Hispanic/ Latino	8	27	3786	11	41	16	59	10	37	4	15	
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	0										
Black or African American	8	0										
White	8	15	4001	1	7	14	93	8	53	2	13	
Two or More Races	8	1										
Economically Disadvantaged	8	26	3780	10	38	16	62	9	35	4	15	
Limited English Proficient	8	8	3367	6	75	2	25	0	0	0	0	
Special Education	8	7	3649	5	71	2	29	2	29	2	29	
				2022-23 Sc	cial Studies S	TAAR Results				1		
Student Group	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did N	ot Meet	Appro	Approaches		Meets		Masters	
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
All Students	8	48	3755	13	27	35	73	13	27	4	8	
Hispanic/ Latino	8	26	3543	11	42	15	58	3	12	1	4	
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	0										

Allaska Native	8	0									
Black or African American	8	0									
White	8	22	4006	2	9	20	91	10	45	3	14
Two or More Races	8	0									
Economically Disadvantaged	8	26	3529	11	42	15	58	2	8	1	4
Limited English	8	9	3653	2	22	7	78	2	22	1	11

1			1	1	1	1 1	1	1	1	1
Proficient										
Special Education	8	4								

U.S History 2020-21 U.S. History STAAR Results Average Did Not Meet Approaches Meets Masters Scale Student Group # Students Tested Score % # % # % # % # 2021-22 U.S. History STAAR Results Average Did Not Meet Approaches Meets Masters Student Group # Students Tested Scale Score % # % # % # # % 2022-23 U.S. History STAAR Results Did Not Meet Approaches Average Meets Masters Student Group # Students Tested Scale Score # % # % # % # %

Goals and Strategies

Goal 1:

Farwell ISD will promote academic excellence for all students.

Performance Objective 1:

Junior High curriculum, instruction and assessment alignment across the campus.

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation: Quickcheck, Benchmark, Interim, and STAAR/EOC results.

Strategy/Activity 1

(1.1) Utilize Novel studies, Mentoring Minds, Reading Plus, Thoughtful Learning's Write on Course, Mindplay, HMH Grades 6-8. (SW Element: 2.5)

Timeline

Teachers use these resources daily.

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal Teachers Library Assistant

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Book Circulation, Interest in reading improves, STAAR Reading Results (SW Element: 2.5)

Reviews

Formative Summative

Resources

Source

Local Funds

Strategy/Activity 2

(1.2) Administer benchmark or interim exams to all students in the core areas

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal Curriculum Dir. Teachers

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Documented improvement and growth for all students on Interim benchmarks

Reviews							
Formative Summative							
Resources							
Source	Local Funds						
Strategy/Activity 3							
(1.3) Utilize TEKSRESOURCE system, DMAC, a	(1.3) Utilize TEKSRESOURCE system, DMAC, and Benchmarks or interims to better align instructional planning and teaching/learning strategies						
Timeline							
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor							

Principal Curriculum Dir. Teachers

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	
Lesson Plan Development/Student Achievem	lent
Reviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	
Source	Local Funds
Strategy/Activity 4	
	ding historical facts, events, cause and effect of the curriculum in the History TEKS. Curriculum targets vocabulary and timelines. (SW Element: 2.5)
Timeline	
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor	
Principal Curriculum Dir. Teachers	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	
	videnced by the results from teacher developed exams, benchmark exams, STAAR practice exams and the STAAR exam
Reviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	
Source	Local Funds
Objective 2: Farwell ISD will implement strategies to in STAAR/EOC scores in all core areas for all	ncrease student learning in all academic fields at a minimum approaching proficiency or better on state exams and improving students. (2, RS)
Evaluation Data Source(s):	

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

(2.1) Tutorials in all core classes in the morning before school and during BLUE period, as well as Homework Hour offered after school twice a week if needed. (SW Element: 2.5, 2.6)

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal Teachers

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Improved Core Class Daily Grades STAAR Results – Social Studies, Science, Math, Reading

Reviews

Formative Summative

Resources

Source

Local Funds

Strategy/Activity 2

(2.2) Provide Reading Plus, Prodigy, Moby Max, Mindplay, and Get More Math to build skills of students performing below grade level by developing strategies targeting students specific learning gaps. (SW Element: 2.5)

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal Instructional Coach Reading and SPED Teachers

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

6 weeks grades, 3-week progress reports and attendance rate Decreased retention rates, failure rate, and a decrease in drop-outs. Build more confident readers.

Reviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	
Source	Local Funds
Source	Local Funds
Strategy/Activity 3	
(2.3) Use of the TEKS Resource System, DMA	C, Mentoring Minds, Mindplay, and Lead4ward.

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal Teachers Instructional Coach

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Increased student performance based on various assessment results

Reviews

Formative Summative

Resources

Source	Local Funds
Strategy/Activity 4	
	guest speakers in classrooms.(SW Element: 2.5)
Timeline	
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor	
Principal Teachers Instructional Coach	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	
	n curriculum and real world experiences.(SW Element: 2.5)
Reviews Formative	
Summative	
Resources	
Source	Local Funds
	ate services for students eligible to special programs including but not limited to: At-Risk, Economically Disadvantaged, Various Dyslexia, Homeless, 504, Head Start and G/T.
Evaluation Data Source(s):	
Summative Evaluation:	
Strategy/Activity 1	
	r Learning,(6) and ELAR, Algebraic Builders, Go Math, Get More Math, Quizlet, STAAR Master and academic vocabulary word walls to improve the

math skills of all students at FJH (SW Element: 2.5)

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor Principal Teachers Instructional Coach Strategy's Expected Result/Impact Increased student performance on assessments Improved student passing rates in Math and Reading Reviews Formative Summative Resources Strategy/Activity 2 (3.2) Provide G/T students with a continuum of learning experiences that lead to advanced level products and offer a Robotics class and competition opportunity. Encourage Campus- wide UIL participation when available (SW Element: 2.4, 2.5)

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor
G/T Coordinator:
Tanya Steinbock
G/T certified classroom teachers,
UIL Coordinator:
Patti Johnson
UIL coaches
Robotics coach:
Jana Perkins
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact
Student projects;

G/T Field trips, Communication between parents and teache UIL Participation if available Placing and awards in UIL Robotics	ers
Reviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	
Source	G/T
Strategy/Activity 3	
(3.2) Provide Dyslexia related services (SW El	ement: 2.4, 2.5)
Timeline	
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor	
Dyslexia and 504 Coordinators	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	
Progress Tracker Reports, 504 Annual meetin	ıgs
Reviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	
Source	Local Funds
Objective 4: Farwell Junior High will provide a compreserve restricted environment." Evaluation Data Source(s):	hensive Special Education program to ensure that students with disabilities receive a "free and appropriate education within a "limited

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

(4.1) Coordination and communication between regular Ed. Teachers and Sp. Ed teachers in instructing Sp. Ed. Students with IEP's by utilizing Google Docs and Google Sheets.

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Teachers, Sp. Ed. Teacher; Principal, Instructional Coach

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

ARD meeting attendance, classroom IEP documentation, ARD minutes, Coordinating Instructional Support

Reviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	
Source	SpEd
Source	Local Funds

Objective 5:

Address needs of Economically Disadvantaged, Homeless and Foster care students and various racial/ethnic groups through education and information provided by Region 16 and the school counselor.

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

Monitor students attendance, social-emotional well being, and address academic needs.

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal, secretary, counselor, teachers

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Successful, resilient students.

Reviews

Formative Summative

Resources

Goal 2:

Farwell ISD will provide a safe and orderly school environment for all students to learn and develop.

Performance Objective 1:

Farwell ISD expectations for appropriate student behavior will be clearly communicated to all students and parents.

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

(1.1) Enforce the Student Code of Conduct, utilizing consistent discipline strategies, Student Shout-outs, Steer Blue Cards, Relationship building is a priority.

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal Teachers Paraprofessionals Instructional Coach

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Discipline Referrals Student/Parent Signatures on Contracts More confident students

Reviews		
Formative Summative		
Resources		

Strategy/Activity 2

(1.2) Red Ribbon Week to promote drug and alcohol abstinence, as well as utilizing first responders and law enforcement officers to address areas of concern students face in social situations.

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor				
Principal				
Counselor				
School Nurse				
Student Council				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact				
Student Participation				
Sign-Up Sheets				
Drug Awareness Activities				
Guest Speakers				
Reviews				
Formative				
Summative				
Resources				
Source				
	Local Funds			
Strategy/Activity 3				
(1.3) Classroom instruction will be improved	by utilizing uniform classroom expectations campus wide.			
Timeline				
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor				
Teachers				
Principal				

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact Walk through data, T-TESS, reduced disciplin	ed referrals
Reviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	
Description	Walk through data, T-TESS, reduced disciplined referrals
Strategy/Activity 4	
(1.4) Provide incentives for perfect attendan school year.	ce including semester test exemption, and perfect attendance awards, early lunch each week for best attendance and a field trip at the end fo the
Timeline	
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor	
Principal JH Secretary	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	
Daily Attendance records, ADA, Student Asse	essment performance
Reviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	
Source	Local Funds
Strategy/Activity 5	
	g opportunities for them to give back to the community.
Timeline	

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor
Principal Teachers
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact
Amount Collected in the following: Canned Food Drive Change War
Reviews
Formative Summative
Resources
Objective 2: The campus will develop programs and strategies to respond to emergencies effectively.
Evaluation Data Source(s):
Summative Evaluation:
Strategy/Activity 1
(2.1) Staff Development – Farwell ISD Emergency Activation Plan
Timeline
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor
Principal Safety Committee

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact			
Staff Attendance Sheet			
Successful Crisis Drills			
Reviews			
Formative			
Summative			
Deseuvees			
Resources			
Source	Local Funds		
Strategy/Activity 2			
Provide support and guidance regarding suic	ide prevention, conflict resolution, violence prevention/intervention, dating violence and bullying/harrassment.		
Timeline			
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor			
School counselor, principal, teachers	School counselor, principal, teachers		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact			
Provide support and education to staff, stude	ents and parents in order to build a healthy home/school environment.		
Reviews			
Formative			
Summative			
Resources			

Goal 3:

Farwell ISD will continue to foster and improve parent/community relations.

Performance Objective 1:

A variety of communication techniques will be utilized to keep parents and community members informed about school programs and activities.

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

(1.1) Junior High - Title 1 Parent Meeting offered at flexible dates and times so as many parents can attend as possible. (SW Element: 3.2)

Timeline

Thursday, August 10, 2023, at 6:00 in the MPR (connected to the administration building) and Friday, August 11, 2023, in the MPR at 12:30 pm.

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor		
Principal		
Teachers		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact		
Parent Sign-In Sheets		
Reviews		
Formative		
Summative		
Resources		
Campus Improvement Plan for	56 of 73	10/2/24

Source	Local Funds		
Strategy/Activity 2			
	idents for conferencing about report cards, student progress and attendance		
Timeline			
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor			
Principal			
Teachers Paraprofessionals			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact			
Parent Sign-In Sheets			
Reviews			
Formative			
Summative			
Resources			
Source	Local Funds		
Strategy/Activity 3			
(1.3) Issue Student Progress Reports – Every third week of each six weeks and documentation of parent contact regarding student progress and attendance			
Timeline			
lineme			
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor			
Principal Teachers			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact			
Campus Improvement Plan for	57 of 73	10/2/24	

Teacher documentation of parent contact		
Reviews		
Formative Summative		
Resources		
Source	Local Funds	
Strategy/Activity 4		
(1.4) 6th grade Orientation – introduce parer	nts and students to the Junior High	
Timeline		
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor		
Principal Teachers		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact		
Parent Sign-In Sheets		
Reviews		
Formative Summative		
Resources		
Objective 2: The number and diversity of parent and co	ommunity member participation in volunteer activities, site-based decision committees and parent/teacher organizations will ir	icrease.
Evaluation Data Source(s):		
Summative Evaluation:		
Campus Improvement Plan for	58 of 73	10/2/24

Strategy/Activity 1

(2.1) Promote parent/community involvement through Rotary Student of the Month, Pep Rallies, FFA Activities, Parent/Teacher Conferences, Awards Assemblies, Band/Athletics, UIL, Family night, Art show, and Lady Blue/Steer supper and ice cream, and parents on field trips Involve parents and community members in the development and revision of the Parent and Family Engagement Policy and Campus Improvement Plan. The PFE policy will be distributed to parents in August during registration and the fall Title 1 meetings. Parents will be notified on how to access the CIP through communication in the Farwell ISD Student Handbook and at Title I meetings throughout the year. (SW Element 2.1, 3.1)

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal Teachers Coaches

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Increased parental/community involvement in school activities

Reviews

Formative Summative

Resources

Goal 4:

Provide Farwell ISD students with a strong, progressive, and quality education by way of a highly qualified staff.

Performance Objective 1:

Farwell Junior High administration will ensure that effective teachers and hghly qulaified paraprofessionals as mandated by state and federal mandates will teach all students.

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

(1.1) Hiring highly qualified staff according to ESSA guidelines

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor	
Superintendent	

Principal

Church and Free and Descript (income			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impa			
Principal Attestation of Highly Quali	fied Staff		
Reviews			
Formative			
Summative			
Resources			
Source	Local Funds		
Objective 2:			
			10/0/0
Campus Improvement Plan for		60 of 73	10/2/24

Farwell Junior High faculty and staff will be provided the opportunity to attend a variety of staff development/training activities.

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

(2.1) Developing a campus-wide professional development plan based upon needs identified through disaggregated student data.

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal Teachers Paraprofessionals

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Certificates of completion of PD
Sign-In Sheets
Increased student performance based on various assessment results

Reviews		
Formative Summative		
Resources		
Source	Local Funds	
Strategy/Activity 2		
(2.2) Staff development activities for identifying, intervention, assessing needs, planning, modifying, tutor/remediate, and serving students' needs. (SW Element: 2.4, 2.6)		

me	

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor	
Principal SCE ESC-16	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	
Increased student performance based on var	ious assessment results, fewer dropouts, fewer student retentions, higher attendance and graduation rates
Reviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	
Source	Comp. Ed.

Goal 5:

Progressive and innovative technology will be integrated throughout the District to enhance student achievement.

Performance Objective 1:

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

(5.1) Utilize Smart TV's to promote student learning and improve instruction

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal Teachers

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact				
Student assessment data, walk-throughs				
Reviews				
Formative Summative				
Resources				
Source	Local Funds			
Strategy/Activity 2				
(5.2) Utilize DMAC Mobile Technology for Classroom Walk-Through's				

Timeline	
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor	
Principal	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	
Increased student performance based on var	ious assessment results
Reviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	
Source	Local Funds
Strategy/Activity 3	
(5.3) Utilize Computer labs and Computers or	n Wheels for classroom projects and instruction as well as the video conference room
Timeline	
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor	
Teachers Principal	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	
Daily lesson plans, student work and assessm	ient data
Reviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	
Source	Local Funds

Goal :6

All instructional personnel will establish challenging goals for all students including improving attendance and decreasing the dropout rate and will also provide effective feedback through assessments and a system of interventions for at-risk students.

Farwell ISD will promote academic excellence for all students.

Performance Objective 1:

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

(6.1) Monitor student attendance and communication of attendance and progress to parents and students through phone conversations, letters to parents and personal conferences

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal Teachers Campus Improvement Team (CIT)

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Increased student performance based on various assessment results Teacher documentation of parent contact

Reviews

Formative Summative	
Resources	
Source	Local Funds
Strategy/Activity 2	
(6.2) Progress report schedules developed an	nd given to parents during our registration and Title I parent meeting
Timeline	
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor	
Principal	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	
	ocumentation of parent contact at the end of each progress report time.
. .	
Reviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	
Source	Local Funds
Strategy/Activity 3	
	e failed or are at-risk of failing academic courses. Staff tutorial schedule created and presented to parents during registration and Title I parent
Timeline	
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor	
Principal Teachers	
reachers	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	

Documentation of such event Student sign-in sheets for tutorials	
Reviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	
Source	Local Funds

Goal 7:

Systems, services, infrastructures and facilities planning, implementation and maintenance will support and enhance the District's educational and operational structures so that students can graduate from high school.

Performance Objective 1:

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

(7.1) Transportation requests

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor	
Principal	

Transportation Manager

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Transportation requests will be used to transport students to and from activities.

Reviews

Formative Summative

Resources

Strategy/Activity 2

(7.2) Work orders

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal Maintenance Staff

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Staff members will utilize work orders to communicate with maintenance in order to keep the facilities safe and provide an environment conducive to learning.

Reviews

Formative Summative

Resources

Summary of Expenditures in this Plan

Total Allocations and Expenditures by Funding Source

Allocations by Funding Source

Funding Source

Amount

Balance

Expenditures by Funding Source

Funding Source

Amount

Summary of Expenditures in this Plan

Total Expenditures by Object Type

Budget Reference

Amount

Summary of Expenditures in this Plan

Total Expenditures by Object Type and Funding Source

Budget Reference

Funding Source

Amount

Farwell ISD Equity Plan Statement

In our commitment to fostering an inclusive and equitable educational environment, our district recognizes the necessity of addressing the existing equity gap to ensure the success of all students. To this end, we have implemented targeted professional development initiatives for our educators.

Our teachers participated in a comprehensive online professional development session, followed by an engaging workshop facilitated by Region 16. These professional learning opportunities were specifically designed to equip our educators with effective strategies and best practices aimed at closing the equity gap within our classrooms.

By actively engaging in these sessions, our teachers have gained valuable insights and tools that will be integrated into their instructional practices and evaluated using teacher observation, student growth, and student achievement data. We are dedicated to creating an equitable learning environment where every student, regardless of background or circumstance, has the opportunity to thrive academically and socially. This ongoing commitment to equity will be a key focus in our district and campus improvement plans as we work collaboratively to support the diverse needs of all learners.